## Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: CIMARRON EL Campus ID: 101910101 District Name: GALENA PARK ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

											Two						
		Stato	Dietrict	Campus	African	Jienani <i>e</i>		American		Pacific lelandor				E1 1	Eomalo	Malo	Migrant
STAAR Percent At											Races	Eu	DISAUV	ELL	remale	Wate	wiigranii
Grade 3 Reading	2016 2015		70% 74%	71% 74%	*	73% 75%	50% 68%	- *	-	- -	- *	38%	70% 71%	74% 73%	74% 82%	67% 67%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015	74% 74%	76% 77%	72% 71%	*	73% 71%	70% 63%	- *	-	-	- *	43% 45%	66% 68%	71% 67%	76% 69%	67% 73%	*
<b>Grade 4</b> Reading	2016 2015		74% 65%	76% 68%	*	77% 68%	75% 55%	*	-	- -	*	47% *	73% 66%	73% 70%	83% 81%	68% 59%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015	72% 71%	77% 72%	73% 81%	*	74% 81%	69% 82%	*	-	-	*	* 43%	72% 78%	71% 82%	75% 76%	71% 84%	*
Writing	2016 2015		71% 69%	62% 69%	*	61% 68%	73% 82%	*	-	-	*	43%	60% 69%	52% 72%	63% 80%	61% 62%	*
<b>Grade 5</b> Reading	2016 2015		78% 82%	74% 79%	*	72% 77%	86% 100%	*	- -	- -	*	*	71% 78%	68% 72%	88% 90%	65% 71%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		87% 73%	91% 81%	*	90% 82%	100% 86%	*	-	-	*	36% *	90% 80%	88% 82%	89% 91%	92% 74%	*
Science	2016 2015		78% 73%	76% 70%	*	75% 67%	71% 100%	*	-	-	*	36%	75% 69%	69% 57%	73% 79%	78% 64%	*
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		73% 73%	74% 74%	79% 88%	75% 74%	73% 75%	71% 89%	-	- -	71% *	37% 26%	72% 72%	71% 72%	77% 80%	72% 69%	71% 83%
Reading	2016 2015		69% 71%	74% 73%	83% 89%	74% 73%	70% 70%	71% 100%	-	-	*	38% 23%	72% 71%	71% 72%	81% 84%	67% 65%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		77% 74%	79% 78%	77% 89%	80% 78%	76% 73%	86% 86%	-	-	*	35% 33%	77% 75%	77% 77%	79% 77%	79% 78%	100% 100%
Writing	2016 2015		68% 68%	62% 69%	*	61% 68%	73% 82%	*	-	-	*	43%	60% 69%	52% 72%	63% 80%	61% 62%	*
Science	2016 2015		82% 79%	76% 70%	*	75% 67%	71% 100%	*	-	-	*	36%	75% 69%	69% 57%	73% 79%	78% 64%	*
STAAR Percent at	Final Le	evel II	or Abo	ve													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		37% 32%	37% 33%	53% 33%	36% 33%	34% 43%	43% 28%	-	- -	43%	25% 13%	35% 30%	29% 26%	38% 38%	36% 30%	14% 33%
Reading	2016 2015		33% 31%	40% 36%	58% 56%	39% 35%	42% 49%	43% 43%	-	-	*	24% 15%		31% 29%	44% 41%	36% 32%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		38% 31%	37% 33%	62% 11%	38% 34%	21% 32%	57% 14%	-	-	*	26% 13%		32% 27%	37% 36%	38% 31%	20% 40%
Writing	2016 2015		34% 26%	32% 27%	*	30% 28%	53% 27%	*	-	-	*	36%		22% 24%	35% 36%	30% 22%	*
Science	2016 2015		44% 38%	33% 31%	*	32% 26%	14% 100%	*	-	-	*	14%		18% 11%	29% 38%	35% 26%	*

0%

												Two or						
					African	1		Amei	rican		Pacific		Specia	al Econ				
CTAAD Damaant at I				ampus A	America	ın Hispaı	nic Whit	e Ind	ian	Asian	Islande	er Races	Ed	Disad	/ ELL	Female	Male N	/ligrant
STAAR Percent at L	evei iii	Advan	cea															
All Grades																		
All Subjects	2016 2015		11% 9%	14% 14%	35% 8%	13% 14%				-	-	7% *	3% 2%	12% 12%	9% 9%	17% 17%	11% 11%	7% 17%
Reading	2016 2015	16% 15%	9% 9%	14% 18%	42% 11%	14% 18%				-	-	*	0% 0%	13% 16%	10% 13%		11% 14%	*
Mathematics	2016 2015		13% 10%	17% 13%	38% 11%	17% 14%				-	-	*	7% 3%	16% 12%	13% 8%	19% 17%	15% 11%	20% 20%
Writing	2016 2015	14% 8%	9% 4%	13% 5%	*	10% 6%	27% 0%	-		-	-	*	0%	9% 4%	5% 4%	16% 10%	8% 2%	*
Science	2016 2015		11% 9%	6% 10%	*	5% 8%	14% 43%			-	-	*	0%	4% 6%	0% 2%	5% 6%	6% 12%	*
STAAR Participation	. (ΔII G	rados)																
OTAAICT articipation	ı (Alı C	naues,																
All Tests		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100°						00% 00%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Reading		2016 2015	99% 99%	99% 98%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100°						00% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Mathematics		2016 2015	100% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100°						00% 00%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Writing		2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100°	- % -	-				00% 00%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
Science		2016 2015	99% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*	-	- -				00% 00%	100% 100%	100% 100%	* 100%
STAAR Participation	n Resu	Its by A	ssessn	nent Typ	e for St	udents	Served	in Spec	cial E	ducat	ion Set	tinas (A	II Grade	es)				
·		,		, , ,								. 5- (		,				
Reading Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC W	/ith No		16 98	3% 95°	% 98'	% *	97%	100%	% -		-	*	98%	100%	93%	100%	97%	-
Accommodations			16 13	3% 7%	6 <b>7</b> %	<b>%</b> *	6%	17%	, -	-	-	*	7%	6%	7%	0%	9%	-
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations	vitri	20	16 73	3% 779	% 67	% *	69%	83%	n -		_	*	67%	73%	87%	89%	62%	_
% STAAR Alterna	ite2		16 11				22%	0%			_	*	23%	21%	0%	11%	26%	-
% of Non-Participar	nts	20	16 2	% 5%	6 <b>2</b> %	<b>6</b> *	3%	0%	-		-	*	2%	0%	7%	0%	3%	-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants		20	16 99	989	% 100	)% *	100%	100%	% -		_	*	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	· -
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations			16 12	!% <b>7</b> %	6 <b>9</b> %	<b>%</b> *	6%	17%	, o -		-	*	9%	9%	13%	10%	9%	-
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations % STAAR Alterna			16 75 16 12	5% 80°			71% 23%	83% 0%		 	-	*	67% 23%	71% 21%	87% 0%	80% 10%	64% 27%	-

<sup>&#</sup>x27;\*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

% of Non-Participants

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Γ															Percent of
								Two or			ELL				Eligible
		All	African		American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL	Total	Total	Measures
	5	Students A	American Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	+	Met	Eligible	Met
Ī	Performance Status - S	tate													
Ī			<u>American Hispanic</u>	vvnite	indian	Asian	isiander	Kaces	DISAGV	Ed	wonitored)	+	iviet	Eligible	wet

<sup>&#</sup>x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

		African American		White		Asian	Pacific Islander		Econ Disadv	Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)				
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		5	6	83
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	N	Υ		5	6	83
Writing	Υ		Υ						Υ		N		3	4	75
Science	Υ		Υ						Υ		Υ		4	4	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													17	20	85
Performance Status -	Federal														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Mathematics	Ν		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N	N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ		Υ	6	6	100
Total													12	12	100
Federal Graduation S Graduation Targe Met		et: See Reas	son Codes	)									0	0	
Reason Code ***															
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal	Limits on A	Iternative A	ssessment	s											
Reading															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient															
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit															
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number	n/a														
Proficient															
Total Federal	n/a														
Cap Limit															
Total															
Overall Total													29	32	91

Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

	_							Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	287	10	246	23	**	-	-	*	235	15	153	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	384	12	329	32	**	-	-	*	324	41	201	178
% at Level II Satisfactory	75%	83%	75%	72%	71%	-	-	*	73%	37%	76%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics												
# at Level II Satisfactory	308	10	265	25	**	-	-	*	252	14	162	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	384	12	329	32	**	-	-	*	324	41	201	178
% at Level II Satisfactory	80%	83%	81%	78%	86%	-	_	*	78%	34%	81%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	82	*	67	10	*	-	_	*	69	6	38	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	130	*	106	14	*	-	_	*	113	13	65	60
% at Level II Satisfactory	63%	*	63%	71%	*	_	_	*	61%	46%	58%	n/a
Standard	0070		0070	, 0					0.70	.070	0070	
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	104	*	90	5	*	_	_	*	87	*	60	n/a
Standard			00	•					٠.		00	
Total Tests	136	*	119	7	*	_	_	*	115	*	78	60
% at Level II Satisfactory	76%	*	76%	71%	*	_	_	*	76%	*	77%	n/a
Standard	7070		1070	1 1 70					1070		1170	11/a
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	=	-	_	11/4
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
1010116313	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

								Two or			ELL	_
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessm	ents											
Number Participating	400	12	343	33	7	-	-	5	334	43	n/a	189
Total Students	400	12	343	33	7	-	-	5	334	43	n/a	189
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asse	ssments											
Number Participating	400	13	342	33	7	-	-	5	335	43	n/a	189
Total Students	400	13	342	33	7	-	-	5	335	43	n/a	189
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
<ul> <li>Indicates results are ma</li> </ul>						,						
** When only one racial/eth	0 .	,	hen the sec	cond sma	ıllest racial/e	ethnic gro	oup is mask	ed (regar	dless of s	ize).		
<ul> <li>Indicates there are no st</li> </ul>												
n/a Indicates the student gro	oup is not a	pplicable to	System Sa	feguards	S.							

								Two or				
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	ELL	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	(Ever HS)	(Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	15								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort	Graduation Ra	te (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduatior	n Rate (Gr 9-12	): Class of 2	014									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
District: Met Federal Limits	on Alternative	Assessmen	nts									
Reading												
Number Proficient	n/a											

Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a
Mathematics
Number Proficient n/a
Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

**Priority schools** are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. **Focus schools** are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

## Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District Percent	State Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Bachelors	41.9	82.3%	71.4%	74.7%
Masters	9.0	17.7%	26.2%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%

## Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

High Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		47	5	52
Total Number of Classes		47	5	52
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	47	5	52
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

## Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of Tea	chers
	Elem (PK-6)	secondary (7-12
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	(
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	(
Non-renewable	0	(
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	(
District Teaching	0	(
Temporary	0	(

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	47	5
Not Highly Qualified	0	o

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment